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"I think of Tulane as a great tree, with its roots deep in the Louisiana soil and its leaves and branches spreading wide. A tree that is growing exactly as it should and giving shelter to all sorts of people and projects of learning, big and small. A landmark for the whole South."

Betty Carter (N ’31)
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THE TREES OF TULANE

OVERVIEW

“Tulane’s purpose is to create, communicate, and conserve knowledge in order to enrich the capacity of individuals, organizations and communities to think, to learn, and to act and lead with integrity and wisdom.

Tulane pursues this mission by cultivating an environment that focuses on learning and the generation of new knowledge; by expecting and rewarding teaching and research of extraordinarily high quality and impact; and by fostering community-building initiatives as well as scientific, cultural and social understanding that integrate with and strengthen learning and research. This mission is pursued in the context of the unique qualities of our location in New Orleans and our continual aspiration to be a truly distinctive international university.”

Tulane University’s Mission Statement

As a campus that continues to grow and expand, Tulane’s urban landscape and the learning environment it nurtures must adapt and evolve to meet the ever-changing needs and goals of a premiere learning institution. As a campus that draws upon a diverse and rich past marked by nearly 180 years of history within the rich urban fabric of one of the oldest cities in America, Tulane draws upon and deeply preserves its heritage. This continual negotiation of Tulane’s engaging future with it’s deep past requires a clear and diversified assessment of the rich physical environment it has to offer.

It is impossible to imagine Tulane University without the trees that shade its quads, lawns, courtyards, and pathways. It can be said that Tulane is not only a campus, but an urban forest as well—one as rich in the history of its origins and its connection to New Orleans, as in the diversity and grandeur of its tree species, both native and rare. Like the gifted, diverse, and dedicated students and faculty who pursue a vivid future in Tulane’s classrooms, or the administrators and staff who work hard to ensure Tulane’s march into that future, the urban forest of Tulane is an immense asset and a rare value. Like any laboratory, library, or lecture hall, the urban forest of Tulane is a critical component of the living and learning environment embodied by the Tulane campus. The trees of Tulane generously extend and augment the landscape of the campus as a place of learning, repose, and community. The Tulane Tree Policy and Procedures initiative outlines important opportunities and needs as they relate to this “treescape” of Tulane University.

Tulane Tree Policy and Procedures, and Plan: Tree Campus Advisory Committee: Tulane University

THE GREEN IS IN THE LEAVES:

Temperature and Energy Use

Community heat islands (3 to 10 degrees F warmer than surrounding countryside) exist because of decreased wind, increased high-density surfaces, and heat generated from human associated activities, all of which requires additional energy expenditures to off-set. Trees can be successfully used to mitigate heat islands. Trees reduce temperatures by shading surfaces, dissipating heat through evaporation, and controlling air movement responsible for advected heat.

Shade

- 20 degrees F lower temperature on a site from trees.
- 35 degrees F lower hard surface temperature under tree shade than in full summer sun.
- 27% decrease in summer cooling costs with trees.
- 75% cooling savings under deciduous trees.
- 50% cooling energy savings with trees. (1980) 20 degrees F lower room temperatures in unsulated house during summer from tree shade.
- West wall shading is the best cooling cost savings component.
- 10% energy savings when cooling equipment shaded (no air flow reduction).
- 15% heating energy savings with trees, (1980)
- Crown form and amount of light passing through a tree can be adjusted by crown reduction and thinning.
- Shade areas generated by trees are equivalent to $2.75 per square foot of value (1975).

Active Evaporation

- 65% of heat generated in full sunlight on a tree is dissipated by active evaporation from leaf surfaces.
- 17% reduction in building cooling by active evaporation by trees.
- One acre of vegetation transpires as much as 1600 gallons of water on sunny summer days.
- 30% vegetation coverage will provide 66% as much cooling to a site as full vegetation coverage.
- A one-fifth acre house lot with 30% vegetation cover dissipates as much heat as running two central air conditioners.

Data prepared by Dr. Kim Coder, Warnell School of Forest Resources, University of Georgia
In assessing the value, needs, and future of Tulane’s trees, the tree policy and its procedures fall into three primary areas of focus:

**Construction Policy & Design Review Procedures** articulate the necessary procedural steps and criteria involved in the augmentation, protection and, if necessary, removal of trees involved in new construction projects on campus. Clear guidelines for the protection of trees and involvement of campus planning and design review authorities, as well as contracted professionals in a review process are the basic elements of this focus.

**Maintenance, Operations & Budgetary Goals** bring a renewed focus to the day-to-day operations of the University in its efforts to maintain and plan for the future of the tree ecosystems on campus. Specific aims deal with the maintenance of an up-to-date database and set of campus tree maps to assist in the location, documentation, and valuation of tree specimens all over campus. Description of tree assessment and valuation processes in relation to strategic maintenance and enhancement of the current tree population are also included. Detailed documentation and assessment allow for accurate projections of the costs for both maintenance and future enhancement.

**Education, Research & Community Outreach Initiatives** enable a discussion of the immense opportunity of Tulane’s tree ecosystems as a rich education and research venue—both in scientific and cultural terms. The concept of a campus tree “arboretum” is the centerpiece of this focus. Procedures for dedicated trees and the establishment of new memorial tree plantings on campus are also outlined. Community and alumni outreach is central to the notion of extending the value of the arboretum as a component of the University’s future.

**THE GREEN IS IN THE LEAVES:**

Air Quality — Trees help control pollution through acting as biological and physical nets, but they are also poisoned by pollution.

Oxygen Production — One acre of trees generates enough oxygen each day for 18 people.

**Pollution Reduction**

- Community forests cleanse the air by intercepting and slowing particulate materials causing them to fall out, and by absorbing pollutant gases on surfaces and through uptake onto inner leaf surfaces.
- Pollutants partially controlled by trees include nitrogen oxides, sulfur dioxides, carbon monoxide, carbon dioxide (required for normal tree function), ozone, and small particulates less than 10 microns in size.
- Removal of particulates amounts to 9% across deciduous trees and 13% across evergreen trees.
- Pollen and mold spore, are part of a living system and produced in tree areas, but trees also sweep out of the air large amounts of these particulates.
- In one urban park (212 ha), tree cover was found to remove daily 48 lbs. particulates, 9 lbs. nitrogen dioxide, 6 lbs. sulfur dioxide, and 1/2 lbs. carbon monoxide. ($136 per day value based upon pollution control technology).
- 60% reduction in street level particulates with trees.
- One sugar maple (one foot in diameter) along a roadway removes in one growing season 60 mg. cadmium, 140 mg. chromium, 820 mg. nickel and 5200 mg. lead from the environment.
- Interiorscape trees can remove organic pollutants from indoor air.

**Water Run-Off**

- 18% of growing season precipitation intercepted and evaporated by all trees.
- For every 5% of tree cover area added to a community, run-off is reduced by approximately 2%
- 7% volume reduction in six-hour storm flow by community tree canopies.
- 17% (11.3 million gallons) run-off reduction from a twelve-hour storm with tree canopies in a medium sized city ($226,000 avoided run-off water control costs).

Data prepared by Dr. Kim Coder, Warnell School of Forest Resources, University of Georgia
THE TREES OF TULANE

Multiple partners in the Tulane community play vital roles carrying out the mission of protecting, valuing, and enhancing the treescape of Tulane. Campus design and planning review authorities represented by the University Planning Office and University Design Services partnered with University Construction Services and Facilities Services bring, at the level of campus wide planning and operations, a strategic and logistical focus to the process of campus tree stewardship. Expertise on the scientific and academic opportunities implicit in the campus tree ecosystem are provided by partners in the Department of Environmental Studies and the Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology. Identification of funding possibilities and arranging the implementation of tree policy goals become their duties and opportunities.

ONGOING EFFORTS AND INITIATIVES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Implementation Task</th>
<th>Status (%)</th>
<th>Focus</th>
<th>Involved Partners</th>
<th>Labor</th>
<th>Time-frame</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1: Campus Tree Survey Database completion, update, and maintenance</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Maint./Operations</td>
<td>Facilities Services, University Communications, UDS/UPO</td>
<td>Independent Contractor--Tree Care Specialist</td>
<td>maintenance on-going</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2: Develop a &quot;worksheet&quot; for grounds maintenance staff in the field, based on Tree Survey database format</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Maint./Operations</td>
<td>Facilities Services</td>
<td>complete - part of tree survey</td>
<td>maintain as needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3: Campus Tree Map completion, update, and maintenance - on Google Earth Base</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Maint./Operations</td>
<td>Facilities Services, University Communications, UDS/UPO</td>
<td>complete - part of tree survey</td>
<td>on-going</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4: Update and complete tree size, species, health measurements to be included in database.</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Maint./Operations</td>
<td>Facilities Services, University Communications, EEB</td>
<td>complete - part of tree survey</td>
<td>maintain as needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5: Complete &quot;Priority Tree List&quot; and Dedicated Tree List--trees to be noted on Campus Maps.</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>Maint./Operations</td>
<td>UDS/UPO, Facilities Services, EEB, OS</td>
<td>1-2 student; EEB, Grounds staff joint supervision, UDS/UPO/OS review</td>
<td>4 weeks; on-going</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6: Complete photographic &quot;album&quot; of existing priority/dedicated trees. Includes plaques; species ident.</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>Maint./Operations</td>
<td>UDS/UPO, Facilities Services, University Communications, EEB, OS</td>
<td>1 EEB student; EEB, Grounds staff joint supervision, UDS/UPO/OS review</td>
<td>4 weeks; maintain as needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7: Tree preservation plan; include projections of maintenance, tree health, and tree valuation.</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>Maint./Operations</td>
<td>Facilities Services, University Construction Services, UDS/UPO</td>
<td>Independent Contractor--Tree Care Specialist</td>
<td>on-going or on project-by-project basis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8: Re-apply for tree campus USA designation by the Arbor Day Foundation each year</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Education/Outreach</td>
<td>UDS/UPO, Facilities Services, University Communications, Center for Public Service, OS</td>
<td>UDS/UPO Design Project Coordinator</td>
<td>Yearly in December.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9: Research grant or other funding possibilities connected to arboretum concept--research, signage, graphics, website, etc.</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>Const/Design Review</td>
<td>UDS/UPO, EEB, Tulane Alumni Assoc.</td>
<td>1-2 EEB students, EEB, OS, UDS/UPO review</td>
<td>on-going</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10: Research, design, coordinate, and publish web interface and other multi-media for PR/Communications focus.</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>Const/Design Review</td>
<td>University Communications, UDS/UPO, EEB, OS</td>
<td>1-2 EEB students, EEB, UDS/UPO review</td>
<td>4-6 weeks; on-going</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

UDS / UPO = University Design/Planning Office; EEB = Dept. of Ecology & Evolutionary Biology; OS = Office of Sustainability

Any Tulane student may be involved in implementation tasks; indicated academic departments have supervisory or curricular opportunities.

tulane tree policy, procedures, and plan : Tree Campus Advisory Committee : Tulane University

THE GREEN IS IN THE LEAVES:

Water Quality / Erosion
- Community trees and forests act as filters removing nutrients and sediments while increasing ground water recharge.
- 37,500 tons of sediment per square mile per year comes off of developing and developed landscapes -- trees could reduce this value by 95% ($336,000 annual control cost savings with trees).
- 47% of surface pollutants are removed in first 15 minutes of storm -- this includes pesticides, fertilizers, and biologically derived materials and litter.
- 10,886 tons of soil saved annually with tree cover in a medium-sized city.

Noise Abatement
- 7 dB noise reduction per 100 feet of forest due to trees by reflecting and absorbing sound energy (solid walls decrease sound by 15 dB).
- Trees provide "white noise," the noise of the leaves and branches in the wind and associated natural sounds, that masks other man-caused sounds.

Glare Reduction
- Trees help control light scattering, light intensity, and modifies predominant wavelengths on a site.
- Trees block and reflect sunlight and artificial lights to minimize eye strain and frame lighted areas where needed for architectural emphasis, safety, and visibility.

Property Values -- Real Estate Comparisons
- Clearing unimproved lots is costlier than properly preserving trees.
- 6% ($2,686) total property value in tree cover.
- $9,500 higher sale values due to tree cover.
- 27% increase in development land values with trees present.
- 19% increase in property values with trees, (1971 & 1983).
- 27% increase in appraised land values with trees, (1973).
- 9% increase in property value for a single tree, (1981).
- Values of single trees in perfect conditions and

Data prepared by Dr. Kim Coder, Warnell School of Forest Resources, University of Georgia
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ARBOR DAY FOUNDATION - Tree Campus USA

The Arbor Day Foundation has recognized the Tulane University Uptown Campus as a Tree Campus USA each year since 2009 for its commitment to environmental stewardship and the wide variety of beautiful and well-managed campus trees. The designation by the Arbor Day Foundation recognizes Tulane’s standards of tree care, education and tree-related community service projects. There are over 1,000 trees in the uptown campus collection, with over 250 of these being live oak trees.

Tree Campus USA Standards

The following standards must be met each year to receive the Tree Campus USA recognition by the Arbor Day Foundation:

Standard 1 — Campus Tree Advisory Committee

A Campus Tree Advisory Committee comprised of members representing the diverse audience of those with a stake in campus trees is established and meets regularly. This committee must include a representative from each of the following audience:
- Student (undergraduate or graduate).
- Faculty.
- Facility Management.
- Community—for example—city forester, municipal arborist, community tree board member.

Each individual campus may also have other interested student organizations, alumni, faculty, or staff that could be represented such as administration, sustainability coordinator, professor emeritus, etc.

While responsibility of the campus trees often ultimately lies with the campus forester, arborist, landscape architect, or designated facilities department, the Campus Tree Advisory Committee can assist in providing guidance for future planning, approval of a comprehensive campus tree plan, education of the campus population as to the benefits of the campus trees, and development of connectivity to the community.

Standard 2 — Campus Tree Care Plan

A Campus Tree Care Plan should be flexible enough to fit the needs and circumstances of the particular campus. The Tree Care Plan should be goal oriented and provide the opportunity to set good policy, like that listed in the ANSI A300 standards for tree care and management, and clear guidance for planting, maintaining, and removing trees. It also provides education to the

locations in the Southeast range up to $100,000.
- $100 million is the value of community trees and forests in Savannah, GA
- $386 million is the value of community trees and forests in Oakland, CA (59% of this value is in residential trees).

Aesthetic Preferences & Visual Screening

- Large old street trees were found to be the most important indicator of attractiveness in a community.
- Increasing tree density (optimal 53 trees per acre) and decreasing understory density are associated with positive perceptions.
- Increasing levels of tree density can initiate feelings of fear and endangerment — an optimum number of trees allows for visual distances and openness while blocking or screening developed areas.

Visual Screening

- The most common use of trees for utilitarian purposes is screening undesirable and disturbing sight lines.
- Tree crown management and tree species selection can help completely or partially block vision lines that show human density problems, development activities, or commercial/residential interfaces.

Recreation & Human Social Interactions

- Contact with nature in many communities may be limited to local trees and green areas (for noticing natural cycles, seasons, sounds, animals, plants, etc.)
- $1.60 is the willing additional payment per visit for use of a tree covered park compared with a maintained lawn area.
- People feel more comfortable and at ease when in shaded, open areas of trees as compared to areas of hardscapes and non-living things.
- People’s preferences for locating areas of social interactions in calming, beautiful, and nature-dominated areas revolve around the presence of community trees and forests.

THE GREEN IS IN THE LEAVES:

Data prepared by Dr. Kim Coder, Warnell School of Forest Resources, University of Georgia
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campus community, citizens, contractors, and consultants about the importance of the campus forest and the protection and maintenance of trees as part of the growth and land development process.

A Campus Tree Care Plan must include:
- Clearly stated purpose.
- Responsible authority/department—who enforces the Campus Tree Care Plan.
- Establishment of a Campus Tree Advisory Committee, terms of the representatives, and role committee plays.
- Campus tree care policies for planting, landscaping, maintenance and removal including establishing and updating a list of recommended and prohibited species; managing for catastrophic events.
- Protection and Preservation policies and procedures—include process for implementing tree protection plan including step-by-step process that every project must follow including construction and trenching.
- Goals and Targets—develop at least one goal and target for your Campus Tree Plan. These could include (but are not limited to) tree canopy target, development of a link between the Campus Tree Plan and other green initiatives on campus or in the community; completion of a campus-wide tree inventory, etc. Include how the goal will be measured.
- Tree damage assessment—enforcement, penalties, and appeals.
- Prohibited practices.
- Definitions of terminology related to campus trees.
- Communication strategy—how the campus tree care plan will be communicated to the college community and contractors to heighten awareness about policies and procedures as well as the goals of the institution.

Standard 3—Campus Tree Program with Dedicated Annual Expenditures

A college campus, to be designated a Tree Campus USA, must allocate finances for its annual campus tree program. Evidence should be shown that an annual work plan has been established and expenditures dedicated towards that work plan.

It is suggested, but not mandatory, that campuses work towards an annual expenditure of $3 per full-time enrolled student. The national average among recognized Tree Campus USA colleges and universities is currently $9 - $11. Expenditures may take place on or off campus, like in the case of an urban campus that does not have room to plant or care for trees on their own campus but works with a nearby elementary school to plant and care for the trees there.

Expenditures could include, but are not limited to:
- Cost of trees purchased
- Labor, equipment and supplies for tree planting, maintenance (pruning, watering, fertilization, mulching, competition control, etc.) and removal, if needed
- Value of volunteer labor (# of hours × $22) and other contributions from student or civic organizations

Data prepared by Dr. Kim Coder, Warnell School of Forest Resources, University of Georgia.
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Staff time dedicated to campus forest planning, tree care contractors
All associated costs of the campus tree management including: public education related to the campus forest;
professional training; related association memberships (International Society of Arboriculture and local chapter,
Society of Municipal Arborists, state urban forest council, etc.);
Campus tree inventory

Standard 4—Arbor Day Observance

An Arbor Day observance provides a golden opportunity to educate the campus community to the benefits of the trees on their campus property and in the community. The Arbor Day observance can be on the campus or held in conjunction with the community where the campus is located. Your event may be held at an appropriate time for your campus. Evidence—recording of date observance was held with attachment that includes program of activities, news coverage, and/or pictures.

Standard 5—Service Learning Project

The Service Learning Project should be an outreach of the spirit of the Tree Campus USA initiative. This project should provide an opportunity to engage the student population with projects related to trees and can be part of a campus or community initiative. The project must be done within the course of the year application is submitted.

Project ideas include, but are not limited to:
Volunteer tree planting or tree maintenance
Tree inventory (campus or community)
Establish a Nature Explore Classroom for young children at an early childhood development center on your campus or in your community. Learn more about Nature Explore Classrooms.
Establishment of campus arboreta
Student-led effort to have community designated a Tree City USA
Coordinate internships with the urban forestry or parks department in your community
Assist Project Learning Tree or other programs centered around trees in training teachers at schools near your campus or organize training for your school’s College of Education
Other tree-related service learning or educational programs for students
Partnership with state forestry departments on regional projects
**THE GREEN IS IN THE LEAVES:**

**STATE OF THE CAMPUS FOREST**

Overall, the campus forest is in good shape. New plantings are continually being added, with particular emphasis on increased diversity, native and adaptive species, variety of aesthetic quality and schedule of growth and development. Most areas are healthy. A few areas of stress include: the live oak trees along the South side of the LBC quad, Bruff Quad live oak trees, Claiborne Avenue at Rosen Lot and along Willow Street.

Updated information on tree totals, health, species and maintenance can be found in the database at the following link: www.bayoutreeserviceinventory.com/treeportal.asp

The following is a brief summary over the last four years:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Trees planted</th>
<th>Trees removed</th>
<th>Cost of tree planting, initial care and pruning</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>$112,000</td>
<td>High number of pruning and removal due to damage by Hurricane Isaac.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>$76,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>$32,000</td>
<td>Tree removal due to new construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*landscape or building projects, replacements

Generally, the campus tree population has been increasing by about 80 trees each year over the last 4 years. The current population is over 1,000 trees, with more than 250 live oaks. There is a pruning program in place that operates on a rotational basis or as needed. The number of trees pruned each year varies as dictated by hazards, damage by hurricane or tropical storms, construction projects and tree age. On average, between 10-15% of the canopy is pruned. Straightening and stalking is also considered during the pruning process.

An average of $65,000 - $70,000 per year has been spent on tree related expenses for new planting, initial care and long term maintenance.

---

Data prepared by Dr. Kim Coder, Warnell School of Forest Resources, University of Georgia
DEDICATED TREES AT TULANE

CURRENT PROCEDURE

PLANTING:
The University will be responsible for the acquisition and planting of the trees in accordance with the Campus Master Plan. Donor’s wishes will be strongly considered; however, final decisions about the type, size, and location of the tree will be made by the University.

PLAQUES:
An appropriate plaque may be designed and installed by the University, provided the donation meets the minim standards outlined in the “Guidelines for Donor Recognition of Campus Elements” booklet. Size of plaque will be determined by the donation amount as outlined in the booklet referenced above.

MAINTENANCE:
As newly planted trees need an appropriate amount of time to become established, the University will provide special maintenance and/or replacement of the tree(s) as needed for a period of three years. Following that time period, the University will include the tree(s) in routine grounds maintenance.

Please note that trees are living things. Tulane University is dedicated to preserving the beauty and landscaping of the campus. Every effort will be made to ensure that planted trees will continue to live. Should the tree die following the three year period, the University will determine at that time if the tree should be replaced at the University’s expense.

INTENTIONS

Dedicated trees and trees donated to Tulane are critical components of the existing campus tree forest as well as of future enhancements to the campus landscape. It is important that procedures and intentions regarding dedication of trees and/or donation of trees to the University be administered in awareness of both potential additions to the tree population and also support of existing tree ecosystem needs or opportunities. Many parts of Tulane’s treescape have reached their capacity in terms of the number of trees that the landscape can feasibly support. Many campus trees or tree groupings and allees are in need of long-term, often specialized care. This commensurates with their age, health, size, and location on campus. While new plantings are often appropriate, dedication and support opportunities for existing trees may offer represent more desirable and effective methods of nurturing the tree population while accommodating the generosity and concern of donors and memorials.
THE TREES AND SHRUBS OF TULANE

“Checklist of Trees and Shrubs of Audubon Park and the Tulane University Campus”
Compiled by Steven P. Darwin : Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Tulane University : 1999

Acanthaceae
Justicia brandegeana Wassh. & L.B. Sm. SHRIMP PLANT

Aceraceae
Acer negundo L. BOX-ELDER, ASH-LEAVED MAPLE
Acer rubrum var. drummondii (Hook. & Arn. ex Nutt) Sarg. SWAMP RED MAPLE
Acer palmatum JAPANESE MAPLE
Acer saccharinum L. SILVER MAPLE

Agavaceae
Yucca aloifolia L. SPANISH BAYONET
Yucca treculeana Carriere SPANISH DAGGER

Amaranthaceae

Ammi majus L. AMMI

Anacardiaceae
Rhus copallina L. SHINING SUMAC, WINGED SUMAC
Rhus Glabra L. SMOOTH SUMAC
Schenis malle L. COLIF PEPPER TREE

Apocynaceae
Aptenia cordifolia (L.) H. & A. Apocynum androsaemifolium (L.) B. & F. Amaryllis belladonna L. AMARYLLIS

Aquifoliaceae
Ilex attenuate Ashe
Ilex cornuta Lindl. & Paxt. CHINESE HOLLY
Ilex decidua Aiton AMERICAN HOLLY
Ilex opaca Aiton YAUPON

Araliaceae
Aralia spinosa L. DEVIL'S WALKING STICK
Fatshegera lizei (Cochet) Guillaum
Fatsia japonica (Thunb.) Decne. & Planch. FATSIA
Tetrapanax papyriferus (Hook.) K. Koch RICE PAPER PLANT

Areaceae
Nerium oleander L. OLEANDER

Araceae
Philodendron selloum C. Koch PHILODENDRO

Araliaceae
Araucaria bidwillii Hook. BUNYA-BUNYA

Berberidaceae
Mahonia bealei (Fort.) Carriere LEATHERLEAF MAHONIA
Mahonia fortunei (Lindl.) Fedde CHINESE MAHONIA
Mahonia lomariifolia H. Takeda BURMESE MAHONIA
Nandina domestica Thunb. NANDINA, HEAVENLY BAMBOO

Betulaceae
Betula nigra L. RIVER BIRCH

“Tulane University Tree Inventory”
Compiled by Bayou Tree Services: 2013

Anacardiaceae
Pistacia chinensis CHINESE PISTACHE

Annonaceae
Asimina triloba PAWPAW TREE

Arecaceae
Livistona chinensis CHINESE FAN PALMS
Pheonix canariensis CANARY ISLAND DATE PALM
Syagrus Romanzoffiana COCOS PALM or BLUE PALM

Betulaceae
Carpinus caroliniana AMERICAN HORNBEAM

Cupressaceae
Taxodium distichum BALD CYPRESS

Fabaceae
Cercis Canadensis RED BUD
Gleditsia Triacanthos HONEY LOCUST

Lytheraceae
Punica protopunica POMEGRANATE TREE
Sassafras albidum SASSAFRAS

Magnoliaceae
Magnolia lilliflora JAPANESE MAGNOLIA

Oleaceae
Chionanthus retusus CHINESE FRINGE TREE
Fraxinus pennsylvania GREEN ASH, RED ASH
**The Trees and Shrubs of Tulane**

**Bignoniaceae**
- Catalpa bignonioides Walt.  **CATALPA, INDIAN BEAN**

**Buxaceae**
- Buxus microphylla Siebold & Zucc.  **BOX, BOXWOOD, JAPANESE BOX**

**Calycanthaceae**
- Calycanthus floridus L.  **CAROLINA ALLSPICE, SWEET SHRUB, PINEAPPLE SHRUB**

**Caprifoliaceae**
- Abelia grandiflora (Andre) Rehd.  **GLOSSY ABELIA**
- Lonicera fragrantissima Lindl. ex. Paxt.  **WINTER HONEYSUCKLE**
- Sambucus canadensis L.  **ELDERBERRY**
- Viburnum abawuki K. Koch  **SWEET VIBURNUM**
- Viburnum odoratissimum Ker-Gawl.  **SANDANKWA VIBURNUM**
- Viburnum suspensum Lindl.  **AMERICAN ELM**
- Vitis rotundifolia  **MUSCADINE**

**Caricaeae**
- Carica papaya L.  **PAPAYA, PAW PAW, PA PAW**

**Celastraceae**
- Euonymus japonica Thunb.  **SPINDLE TREE, EUONYMUS**

**Compositae**
- Baccharis halimifolia L.  **GROUNDSEL BUSH**

**Cornaceae**
- Aucuba japonica Thunb.  **JAPANESE AUCUBA, GOLD DUST TREE**
- Cornus drummondii C. A. Mey.  **ROUGH LEAF DOGWOOD**
- Cornus florida L.  **FLOWERING DOGWOOD**

**Cupressaceae**
- Juniperus chinensis var. chinensis L.  **CHINESE JUNIPER**
- Juniperus conferta Parli.  **SHORE JUNIPER**
- Juniperus horizontalis Moench  **CREEPING JUNIPER**
- Juniperus virginiana L.  **RED CEDAR, SAVIN**
- Platycladus orientalis (L.) Franco  **ORIENTAL ARBORVITAE**

**Cycadaceae**
- Cycas revoluta Thunb.  **SAGO PALM, CYCAD**

**Ebenaceae**
- Diospyros kaki L. f.  **JAPANESE PERSIMMON**
- Diospyros virginiana L.  **THORNY ELAEAGNUS**

**Ericaceae**
- Rhododendron obtusum (Lindl.) Planch.  **KURUME AZALEA**

**Euphorbiaceae**
- Weigela florida (Bunge) A. C.  **WEIGELIA**
- Carica papaya L.  **PAPAYA, PAW PAW, PA PAW**
- Buxus microphylla Siebold & Zucc.  **BOX, BOXWOOD, JAPANESE BOX**
- Callerya dichotoma A. Gray  **SILVER BELL**
- Viburnum suspensum Lindl.  **SANDANKWA VIBURNUM**
- Viburnum chinensis var. chinensis L.  **CHINESE JUNIPER**
- Juniperus horizontalis Moench  **CREEPING JUNIPER**
- Juniperus virginiana L.  **RED CEDAR, SAVIN**
- Platycladus orientalis (L.) Franco  **ORIENTAL ARBORVITAE**
- Cycas revoluta Thunb.  **SAGO PALM, CYCAD**
- Diospyros virginiana L.  **THORNY ELAEAGNUS**
- Weigela florida (Bunge) A. C.  **WEIGELIA**
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Euphorbia pulcherrima Willd. ex. Klozsch  POINSETTIA
Manihot dulcis var. multifida (Graham) Pax  SWEET CASSAVA
Sapium sebiferum (L.) Roxb.  CHINESE TALLOW TREE

Fagaceae
Fagus grandifolia Ehrh.  AMERICAN BEECH
Quercus alba L.  WHITE OAK
Quercus comptonae Sarg.  NATCHEZ OAK
Quercus falcata Michx.  CHERRYBARK OAK
Quercus glauca Thunb.  RING-CUP OAK
Quercus laurifolia Michx.  LAUREL OAK
Quercus nigra L.  WATER OAK
Quercus nuttallii Palmer  NUTTALL OAK
Quercus phellos L.  WILLOW OAK
Quercus virginiana Mill.  LIVE OAK

Ginkgoaceae
Ginkgo biloba L.  GINKGO, MAIDENHAIR TREE

Gramineae
Arundinaria pygmaea (Michx.) Asch. & Graebn.  HEDGE BAMBOO
Bambusa glaucescens (Wild.) Siebold ex Host.  HEDGE BAMBOO
Bambusa tuldoides Munro  PUNTING POLE BAMBOO
Phyllostachys auria Carr. ex A. & C. Riviere  GOLDEN BAMBOO
Pseudosasa japonica (Siebold & Zucc. ex Steud.) Mak.  METAKE

Hamamelidaceae
Liquidambar styraciflua L.  SWEET GUM
Loropetalum chinense (R. Br.) D. Oliver  LOROPETALUM

Hippocastanaceae
Aesculus pavia L.  RED BUCKEYE

Illiciaceae
Illicium parviflorum Michx.

Juglandaceae
Carya aquatica (Michx. f.) Nutt.  BITTER PECAN, WATER HICKORY
Carya illinoensis (Wangenhi.) C. Koch.  PECAN

Lauraceae
Cinnamomum camphora (L.) J. Presl.  CAMPHOR TREE
Laurus nobilis L.  LAUREL, BAY
Persea americana Miller  AVOCADO

Leguminosae
Amorpha fruticosa L.  FALSE INDIGO
Bauhinia punctata C. Bolle  RED BUAHINIA
Bauhinia variegata L.  ORCHID TREE
Cassia alata L.  CANDLESTICK SHRUB
Cassia coluteoides Coll.  GOLDEN WONIER, CASSIA
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- Cercis canadensis L.  **REDBUD**
- Erythrina crista-galli L.  **CORAL TREE, CRY-BABY TREE**
- Leucaena pulverulenta (Schlechtend.) Benth.  **LEUCAENA**
- Parkinsonia aculeata L.  **JERUSALEM THORN**
- Robinia pseudo-acacia L.  **BLACK LOCUS**
- Sophora japonica L.  **JAPANESE PAGODA TREE, CHINESE SCHOLAR TREE**
- Sophora secundiflora (Ort.) Lag. ex DC.  **MESCAL BEAN**

**Lilacées**
- Ruscus aculeatus L.  **BUTCHER’S BROOM**

**Luguminosae**
- Albizia julibrissin Durazz.  **ALBIZIA, MIMOSA**

**Lytheraceae**
- Lagerstroemia indica L.  **CRANE MYRTLE**

**Magnoliaceae**
- Liriodendron tulipifera L.  **TULIP TREE, TULIP POPLAR**
- Magnolia grandiflora L.  **SOUTHERN MAGNOLIA, BULL BAY**
- Magnolia soulangiana Soul.- Bod.  **CHINESE MAGNOLIA, SAUCER MAGNOLIA**
- Magnolia stellata (Siebold & Zucc.) Maxim.  **STAR MAGNOLIA**
- Magnolia virginiana L.  **SWEET BAY, SWAMP BAY, WHITE BAY**
- Michelia figo (Lour.) Spreng.  **BANANA SHRUB**

**Malpighiaceae**
- Galphimia glauca Cav.  **THYRALLIS**

**Malvaceae**
- Abutilon pictum (Gillies ex. Hook & Am.)  **CHINESE BELL FLOWER, FLOWERING MAPLE**
- Hibiscus rosa-sinensis L.  **CHINESE HIBISCUS, ROSE-OF-CINNA**
- Hibiscus syriacus L.  **ALTHEA, ROSE-OF-SHARON**
- Malvaviscus arboreus Cav.  **TURK’S-CAP, WAX MALLOW**

**Meliaceae**
- Melia azederach L.  **CHINABERRY**

**Menispermaceae**
- Cocculus laurifolius (Roxb.) DC.  **COCCULUS, SNAILSEED**

**Moraceae**
- Ficus carica L.  **COMMON FIG**
- Ficus religiosa L.  **BO TREE**
- Maclura pomifera (Raf.) C. K. Schneid.  **OSAGE ORANGE, BOW WOOD**
- Morus alba L.  **WHITE MULBERRY**
- Morus rubra L.  **RED MULBERRY**

**Myricaceae**
- Myrica cerifera L.  **WAX MYRTLE, WAXBERRY**

**Myrsinaceae**
- Ardisia crenata Sims  **CORALBERRY, CHRISTMAS-BERRY**

---
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Myrtaceae
- Callistemon citrinus (Curtis) Stapf.żu CRIMSON BOTTLEBRUSH
- Eugenia uniflora L.żu SURINAM CHERRY, PITANGA
- Feijoa sellowiana O. Berg.żu PINEAPPLE GUAVA, FEIJOA
- Myrtus communis L.żu MYRTLE
- Psidium littorale Raddiżu STRAWBERRY GUAVA

Oleaceae
- Chionanthus virginica L.żu FRINGE TREE, GRANCY GRAYBEARD
- Fraxinus americana L.żu WHITE ASH
- Fraxinus berlandieriiana A. C.żu ARIZONA ASH
- Jasminum floridum Bungeżu JASMINE
- Jasminum mesnyi Hanceżu JAPANESE JASMINE, PRIMROSE JASMINE
- Ligustrum japonicum Thumb.żu JAPANESE PRIVET, WAX-LEAF PRIVET
- Ligustrum lucidum Aitonżu TREE LIGUSTRUM, GLOSSY PRIVET
- Ligustrum sinense Lour.żu CHINESE PRIVET
- Olea europaea L.żu OLIVE
- Osmanthus fragrans (Thunb.) Lour.żu SWEET OLIVE

Palmae
- Arecastrum romanzoffianum (Cham.) Becc.żu QUEEN PALM
- Brahea armata S. Wats.żu BLUE HESPER PALM
- Bulia capitata (Mart.) Becc.żu JELLY PALM, BUTIA PALM
- Chamaerops humilis L.żu EUROPEAN FAN PALM
- Livistona chinensis (Jacq.) R. Br. ex Mart.żu CHINESE PALM
- Phoenix canariensis Hort. ex Chabaudżu CANARY ISLAND DATE PALM
- Raphis excelsa (Thunb.) A. Henryżu LADY PALM, BAMBOO PALM
- Rhodophyllum hystrich (Pursh) H. Wendl. & Drudeżu NEEDLE PALM
- Sabal minor (Jacq.) Pers.żu DAWRF PALMETTO
- Sabal palmetto (Walt.) Lodd. ex Schult. & Schult. F.żu CABBAGE PALMETTO
- Trachycarpus fortunei (Hook.) H. Wendl.żu WINDMILL PALM
- Washingtonia robusta

Pinaceae
- Pinus elliottii Engelm.żu SLASH PINE
- Pinus glabra Walt.żu SPRUCE PINE
- Pinus taeda L.żu LOBLOLLY PINE

Pittosporaceae
- Pittosporum tobira (Thunb.) Ait.żu JAPANESE PITTOSPORUM

Platanaceae
- Platanus occidentalis L.żu SYCAMORE, BUTTONWOOD, PLANE TREE

Plumbaginaceae
- Plumbago auriculata Lam.żu PLUMBAGO, CAPE LEADWORT

Podocarpaceae
- Podocarpus macrophyllus (Thunb.) D. Donżu SOUTHERN YEW, PODOCARPUS
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Proteaceae
Grevillea robusta A. Cunn.  SILK-OAK

Punicaceae
Punica granatum L.  POMEGRANATE

Rosaceae
Chaenomeles x superba  RUBY GLOW
Chaenomeles speciosa (Sweet) Nakai  JAPANESE FLOWERING QUINCE
Crateagus marshallii Eggli.  PARSLEY HAW
Crateagus viridis L.  GREEN HAWTHORNE
Eriobotrya japonica (Thunb.) Lindl.  LOQUAT, JAPANESE PLUM
Malus angustifolia (Ait.) Michx.  WILD CRAB
Photinia glabra (Thunb.) Maxim.  RED-TOP, JAPANESE PHOTINIA
Photinia serrulata Lindl.  CHINESE PHOTINIA
Prunus angustifolia Marsh.  CHICKASAW PLUM
Prunus campanulata Maxim  TAIWAN CHERRY
Prunus caroliniana (Mill.) Ait.  CHERRY-LAUREL
Prunus cerasifera J. F. Ehrh.  PURPLE LEAF PLUM
Prunus mexicana S. Wats.  MEXICAN PLUM
Prunus serrotina J. F. Ehrh.  BLACK CHERRY
Prunus triloba Lindl.  FLOWERING ALMOND
Prunus x blireiana Andre
Pyracantha koidzumii (Hayata) Rehd.  FIRE THORN
Pyrus calleryana Decne  CALLERY PEAR, BRADFORD PEAR
Pyrus kawakamii Hayata  EVERGREEN PEAR
Pyrus pyrifolia (Burm. f.) Nakai  SAND PEAR
Pyrus x lecontii Rehd.  LECANT PEAR
Raphiolipis endica (L.) Lindl.  Raphiolipis umbellata (Thunb.)  Mak.
Rosa banksiae Ait. f.  LADY BANKSIA ROSE, LADY BANKS’S ROSE
Rosa spp.  HYBRID ROSE
Spiraea cantoniensis Lour.  REEVES SPIRAEA
Spiraea thunbergii Siebold ex Blume  VANOITTE SPIRAEA, BRIDAL-WREATH

Rubiaceae
Cephalanthus occidentalis L.  BUTTON-BUSH
Gardenia augusta (L.) Merr.  GARDENIA, CAPE-JASMINE

Rutaceae
Citrus x paradisi Macfady (C. maxima x C. sinensis)  GRAPEFRUIT
Citrus aurantium L.  SEVILLE ORANGE, SOUR ORANGE
Citrus limon (L.) Burm. f.  LEMON
Citrus reticulata Blanco  MANDARIN ORANGE, SATSUMA, TANGERINE
Citrus sinensis (L.) Osbeck  SWEET ORANGE
Fortunella japonica (Thunb.)  MARUMI KUMQUAT

GARDENIA, CAPE-JASMINE
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Severinia buxifolia (Poir.) Tenore  **CHINESE BOX ORANGE**

Salicaceae
- Populus alba L.  **WHITE POPLAR**
- Populus deltoides Bartr. ex Marsh.  **COTTONWOOD**
- Salix babylonica L.  **weeping willow**
- Salix interior Rowlee  **sANDBAR WILLow**
- Salix nigra Marsh.  **BLACK WILLow**

Sapindaceae
- Koelreuteria bipinnata Franch  **GOLDEN-RAIN TREE**
- Koelreuteria paniculata Laxm.  **GOLDEN-RAIN TREE**

Saxifragaceae
- Duetzia scabra Thunb.  **DEUTZIA**
- Hydrangea macrophylla (Thunb.) Ser.  **HYDRANGEA, HORTENSIA**
- Hydrangea quercifolia Bartr.  **Mock Orange**
- Philadelphus inodorus L.  **MOCK ORANGE**

Sapindaceae
- Koelreuteria bipinnata Franch  **GOLDEN-RAIN TREE**
- Koelreuteria paniculata Laxm.  **GOLDEN-RAIN TREE**

Salicaceae
- Cestrum nocturnum L.  **NIGHT JESSAMINE**

Solanaceae
- Firmiana simplex (L.) W. F. Wight  **CHINESE PARASOL TREE, JAPANESE VARNISH TREE**

Taxodiaceae
- Cunninghamia lanceolata (Lamb.) Hook.  **DAWN-REDWOOD**
- Taxodium distichum (L.) L. Rich.  **BALD-CYPRESS**

Theaceae
- Camellia japonica L.  **CAMELLIA, JAPANESE CAMELLIA**
- Camellia sasanqua Thunb.  **SASANQUA, SASANQUA CAMELLIA**
- Ternstroemia gymnanthera (Wight & Arn.) T. Sprague  **CLEYERA, TERNSTROEMIA**

Ulmaceae
- Celtis laevigata Willd.  **HACKBERRY, SUGARBERRY**
- Ulmus alata Michx.  **WINGED ELM, WAHOO**
- Ulmus americana L.  **AMERICAN ELM**
- Ulmus laevis Pall.  **EUROPEAN WHITE ELM**
- Ulmus parvifolia Jacq.  **CHINESE ELM**
- Ulmus pumila L.  **SIBERIAN ELM**

Verbenaceae
- Duranta repens L.  **GOLDEN DEWDROP, SKYFLOWER**
- Lantana camara L.  **LANTANA**
- Lantana montevidensis (Spreng.) Briq.  **TRAILING LANTANA**
- Vitex agnus-castus L.  **CHASTE TREE, VITEX**
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**Tulane Tree Policy and Procedure**

**Policy**

The protection of trees shall be given a high priority, and an open process for reviewing requests for tree removal shall be instituted.

It is the policy of the university to protect and to preserve mature trees, certain unique trees, tree species, and tree arrangements on campus. The university recognizes certain tree arrangements, as identified in the Master Plan for the Uptown Campus, that contribute enormously to not only the historic and aesthetic value but also the scientific and educational quality of the campus. This policy is consistent with the Landscape section of the Campus Master Plan (quoted below), which identifies trees as one of the three primary organizational elements that define the campus landscape.

Trees: The planting of major trees is one of the most outstanding landscape features of the Tulane campus. The trees on the front campus and those to the west side of Newcomb Hall are planted in a random fashion and have grown so as to create a dense canopy which defines the quads below and knits together the buildings along the quad edges. In the middle campus, the alleys of oak trees along McAlister, Drill Field Road, Newcomb Place and Newcomb Circle form probably the most dominant landscape feature. Smaller scale trees are also used as accents throughout the landscape.

*(quote from Campus Master Plan)*

**Procedure**

In the question of removal or protection of trees on campus, the following procedure will be followed:

All new campus construction projects shall be reviewed by the campus botanist, Grounds Superintendent and the Director of University Planning for their effects on existing trees. The review shall take place at the pre-design phase and again at the schematic and design development phases prior to proceeding with construction documents. The Professional of Record shall prepare all plans submitted for review. These plans shall be accompanied by a scaled plot plan indicating the location, size and caliper of all trees on the project site as well as the approximate health and age of those trees. Information regarding tree preservation should be included in the plans. This may include maps, drawings and details that shall be incorporated into the plan set. The Director of University Planning shall circulate the plans for review. The Director of University Planning is responsible for collecting the design review comments and forwarding any recommendation and decision to the Professional of Record to be included in the next drawing phase.

In all construction projects, the final version of the plot plan shall include a “tree protection zone” and fencing plan identifying this zone. At the pre-construction meeting, the Superintendent of Grounds and the Construction Superintendent shall review the maintenance of the tree protection zone and the routine monitoring of trees during the construction process.
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The Contractor is responsible for the expense to aerate and nourish the trees during construction and for a period of two years following construction as shall be stated in the construction contract. It is the Grounds Superintendent’s responsibility to determine the Contractor’s schedule to aerate and nourish the trees in the post-construction period. This information must be included in the bidding documents. The Professional of Record is responsible for photographing the existing trees before and after construction. The Director of University Planning and the Grounds Superintendent have the authority to stop work on a construction site to prevent accidents and oversights that might otherwise result in tree damage. The Professional of Record shall notify the University of any potential damage to trees.

Contractors or other parties not following protection procedures will compensate the university at triple the replacement cost or value of the tree lost or damaged, whichever is higher. Valuation of trees shall follow the formal campus tree valuation method.

For damage not resulting in the loss of trees, the compensation will be as follows:

- Minor damage (tree bark): higher of 30% value of tree* or $1,000
- Significant damage, non-structural (small branch): higher of 50% value of tree* or $2,000
- Significant damage (structural): higher of 100% value of tree* or $5,000
- Improper site prep or maintenance: higher of 100% value of tree* or $5,000
- Violating (moving or removing) tree protection fencing without written permission $1,000.

*Value assessed by Tulane-hired arborist before start of construction and included in construction contract. Damage assessed by a licensed arborist hired by Tulane when damage occurs. Funds from fines assessed for tree damage shall be transferred to Facilities Services in a 7-ledger account specifically designated for tree maintenance and tree-related expenses. Responsibility of tree health impact applies to (2) two years after substantial completion.

Only for projects where Tulane is not directly responsible for tree care funding (such as federally funded projects) may the arborist be hired by a different party. A Louisiana licensed arborist should always be consulted before any work near trees.

In the case of an unforeseen condition that changes the plot plan and/or interferes with the established tree protection zone, or when a tree is endangered by construction or other harmful activities and/or if demolition is proposed, the Superintendent of Grounds, the campus botanist and the Director of University Planning will be notified. In the event that there is no alternative to removing a tree, the option to transplant the tree must be determined by the campus botanist, the Grounds Superintendent and the Director of University Planning before removal is begun. The campus botanist, Grounds Superintendent and Director of University Planning will evaluate the tree and make a decision regarding its removal, protection or transplantation based on the Campus Tree Assessment and Valuation method. The University maintains a minimum 3-to-1 tree replacement policy requiring that three trees must be planted for every one tree removed or demolished due to unavoidable construction. The canopy size of the new trees should completely replace the size of the canopy lost - more than three trees can be replanted for each tree removed to meet this requirements. In disputed cases, during the planning or construction phases, the Campus Planning Executive Committee Chairman will render a final decision.
The Tree Assessment evaluates trees based on a “high” or “neutral” rating in each of three categories:

**Historical Value** is determined by two factors: a tree’s dedicated or memorial status and any notable history associated with the tree’s origin or planting on campus. For example, a tree or stand of trees planted by a student group or organization, alumni group, or faculty/department group would have high historical distinction. Trees that have known genealogical connections to nurseries, gardens, and other forests and arboreta that are important to the history of the wider city, national, and even international community would also rank high in historical value.

**Aesthetic Value** becomes a function of a tree’s health, size, maturity, species, location, and importance within the visual landscape and image of the campus. Trees that function well in beautifying and shaping the larger campus landscape image as identified in Tulane’s Master Plan design guidelines achieve high aesthetic value.

**Scientific/Educational Value** is decided by a tree’s species, quality as a specimen, rare or native designation, and its contribution to both the health and diversity of Tulane’s arboreal collection or overall ecosystem. Every effort should be made to maintain and seek bio-diversity for rare, exemplary and native plantings. All plants are available for use for research and instruction.

The Grounds Department of Facilities Services is the custodian of all trees on campus. As soon as the Grounds Superintendent receives the schematic plot plan, he/she, in conjunction with the campus botanist and Director of University Planning, should evaluate and identify trees that need pre-construction treatment. Appropriate action such as irrigation, fertilization and pruning by the Grounds Department or by a Tulane-hired licensed arborist should be taken as early as possible to allow mature trees time to respond.

**GENERAL CONSTRUCTION SPECIFICATIONS**

1. Before beginning work, the contractor shall meet with the Grounds Superintendent and the Tulane Project Manager at the site to review all work procedures, access routes, storage areas, and tree protection measures.

2. Fences shall be erected to protect trees to be preserved. Fences shall define a specific protection zone for each tree or group of trees. Fences are to remain until all site work has been completed. Fences may not be relocated or removed without the written permission of the Grounds Superintendent. All tree protection fencing shall be chain link and a minimum of 6 feet tall, held in place by metal posts, pounded into the ground at a maximum post spacing of 8 feet. Chain fabric should be secured with hog ring ties or aluminum fence ties. Top and bottom spanning rails are required to assure that chain link fencing will not deform and to deter violation of the tree protection zone.
3. The following practices are prohibited within tree protection zone:
   a. Foot traffic.
   b. Erection of shed, structures, or work trailers
   c. Impoundment of water.
   d. Excavation or other digging unless otherwise indicated by Tulane-hired consulting arborist.

4. Erosion control measures should be in place along exterior of tree protection fencing, with either geotextile filter fabric or absorbent woven tubes.

5. Construction trailers, traffic areas, and storage areas must remain outside fenced tree protection zones at all times.

6. All underground utilities and drain or irrigation lines shall be routed outside the tree protection zone. If lines must traverse the protection area, they shall be tunneled or bored under the tree, with appropriate protections.

7. No materials, equipment, spoil, waste or washout water shall be deposited, stored or parked within the tree protection zone (fenced area).

8. If additional tree pruning is required for clearance during construction, the Grounds Superintendent must be notified and the pruning must be performed by a Tulane hired qualified arborist and not by construction personnel.

9. Any herbicides placed under paving materials must be safe for use around trees and labeled for that use. Any pesticides used on site must be tree-safe and not easily transported by water.

10. If injury should occur to any tree during construction, it shall be evaluated as soon as possible by the Grounds Superintendent so that appropriate treatment can be applied. Cost for treatment should be born by the Contractor. Contractors or other parties not following protection procedures will compensate the university at triple the replacement cost or value of the tree lost or damaged, whichever is higher.

11. Any grading or fill that will affect the drainage patterns or water table should be included in the “tree” plot plan and discussed at the pre-construction meeting. Construction or other work that is expected to encounter tree roots must be monitored by the Grounds Superintendent. Specific locations or tree tag numbers shall be identified.

12. Regrading
   a. Lowering Grade: Where new finish grade is indicated below existing grade around trees, slope grade beyond the tree protection zone. Maintain existing grade within protection zone.
   b. Raising Grade: Where new finished grade is indicated above existing grade around trees to remain, slope grade beyond the tree protection zone.
   c. Changing Grade inside of protection zone is prohibited.
13. If temporary haul or access roads must pass over the root area of trees to be retained, specific locations of roads affecting trees shall be indicated and reviewed by the Grounds Superintendent and the Tulane-hired arborist. Any root protection measures such as planking, mating or mulching should be employed as prescribed by the Grounds Superintendent. The road bed material shall be maintained as necessary throughout the duration of the project.

14. Fire-safe areas shall be maintained around fenced areas. No heat sources, flames, ignition sources, or smoking shall be allowed near mulch or trees.

15. The Contractor is responsible for coordinating a monthly, on-site tree protection meeting involving the Superintendent of Grounds and the Campus Architect to review on-site tree health and maintenance of tree protection measures.
Severing Roots:
Cutting of roots near construction should always be avoided. For example, the routing of underground utilities does not have to follow a straight line from access point to building. Careful route selection can often avoid important trees. When that is not possible, boring is the only acceptable way to install underground utilities in a tree protection area. The depth of the boring should be such that it does not impact the health of the tree as determined by the Tulane hired arborist. (5) Five feet depth is usually recommended.

Avoiding Damage During Construction:
As the organized chaos of building takes place, the surest way to protect trees that are to be saved is to:
(1) work with the builder to locate and mark with flagging and/or signs all construction roads and access to the site, parking places for workers, and areas for storage/cleaning of building materials, gravel, and soil. It is preferable that there is one agreed upon access way onto and off of the site. (2) work with utility contractors to stake out exact locations of trenches near protected trees. (3) erect physical barriers around all “save” trees or, better yet, around groups of trees, near construction activity.

---

FIGURE 1.2 Tree preservation requires accurate information about patterns of tree growth and development. Inaccurate information depicts roots as extending only to the edge of the canopy and growing deeply into the soil (a). A truer image of how trees grow depicts roots as shallow in depth and extending well beyond the dripline of the canopy (b).
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MYTHS, FANTASIES, AND HALF-TRUTHS ABOUT TREE ROOTS

Myth: Tree roots can grow as deeply as the crown is tall.
Reality: Most tree roots grow within 3 feet of the soil surface. Most fine roots are within the top 18 inches of the soil surface.

Myth: A tree’s root zone extends only as far as the edge of the canopy.
Reality: Tree roots extend far beyond the crown and occupy from two to ten times the area beneath the canopy (Figure 2.4).

Myth: In forest settings, roots of adjacent trees are separate from one another.
Reality: Roots of trees in forest stands overlap and intertwine, forming a dense mat (Figure 2.5).

Myth: Tree root systems form a circle around the canopy.
Reality: Root growth is highly opportunistic, creating very asymmetric forms.

Myth: Roots seek out water and mineral elements.
Reality: Tree roots proliferate in areas favorable for their growth, where water, nutrients, and oxygen are abundant.

Myth: The entire root system absorbs water and mineral elements.
Reality: Only the small, fine, short-lived roots function in this manner.

Myth: Trees have large taproots that anchor them into the ground.
Reality: Few mature trees possess a significant taproot.

Myth: Tree roots grow independently of other soil organisms.
Reality: Roots of forest trees coexist with many types of fungi and bacteria, forming mycorrhizal associations.

Myth: Root growth occurs only in the spring and fall.
Reality: Tree roots grow any time the soil temperatures are above the range of 32 to 40°F. In irrigated landscapes, the peak period of root growth is midsummer.

FIGURE 2.4 The root system of a tree can be described as shallow and widespread, extending far beyond the edge of the canopy.

FIGURE 2.5 In forest settings, root systems of individual trees overlap and intertwine, forming a dense mat of roots.
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**Tree Plot Plan Procedure**

All new campus construction projects shall be reviewed by the campus botanist, Grounds Superintendent and the Director of University Planning for their effects on existing trees. The review shall take place at the pre-design phase and again at the design development phase prior to proceeding with construction documents. Site drainage plan and site hardscape plan to be reviewed by Superintendent of Grounds and Director of University Planning. The Professional of Record shall prepare all plans submitted for review. These plans shall be accompanied by a scaled plot plan indicating the location, size and caliper of all trees on the project site as well as the approximate health and age of those trees. Information regarding tree preservation should be included in the plans. This may include maps, drawings and details that shall be incorporated into the plan set. The Director of University Design Services shall circulate the plans for review. The Director of University Design Services is responsible for collecting the design review comments and forwarding any recommendation and decision to the Professional of Record to be included in the next drawing phase.

![Tree Location Map](image)

**FIGURE 5.2** A tree survey map depicts the location of individual trees (often referencing tree tag numbers) and their canopy outlines. A topographic plan is generally used as a base map.
Tulane Tree Policy and Procedure

Tree Plot Plan Procedure (Cont’d)

In all construction projects, the final version of the plot plan shall include a “tree protection zone” and fencing plan identifying this zone. The Tree Protection Zone shall extend a minimum of (6) feet past the current drip line of the existing trees to remain as shown by the diagram attached. At the pre-construction meeting, the Superintendent of Grounds and the Construction Superintendent shall review the maintenance of the tree protection zone and the routine monitoring of trees during the construction process. The Contractor is responsible for the expense to aerate and nourish the trees during construction and for a period of two years following construction as shall be stated in the construction contract. It is the Grounds Superintendent’s responsibility to determine the Contractor’s schedule to aerate and nourish the trees in the post-construction period. This information must be included in the bidding documents. The Professional of Record is responsible for photographing the existing trees before and after construction. The Director of University Planning, Director of University Design Services and the Grounds Superintendent have the authority to stop work on a construction site to prevent accidents and oversights that might otherwise result in tree damage. The Professional of Record shall notify the University of any potential damage to trees.

Temporary Fencing Related to Campus Events

No temporary tents, barricades or any other equipment for campus events shall be placed in the Tree Protection zone as defined above.
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Trees and the Campus Planning Context: Within the Master Plan

Introduction
Tulane is fortunate to have a site with a near tropical climate which supports an abundant set of rich, native plant materials. The climate allows for an unusual burst of plant growth and the cultivation of a verdant landscape all year around. It can sustain a landscape of intense color of various shades of green and of the assorted colors of the many flowering plants which thrive in this area. These qualities of climate can be exploited to create a campus with a unique, identifiable image, a place clearly different from other universities across the country. The landscape is a strong natural resource for the university, and one that is relatively inexpensive to develop.

The landscape image for Tulane should be developed to be naturalistic, instructional and varied. The naturalistic style is informal, potentially asymmetrical, with a sense of looseness and growth rather than tight control or cultivation. Landscape installations work with the natural characteristics of the site instead of imposing unfamiliar elements or qualities on the site. Plant materials are allowed to exhibit their natural growth patterns; they are not pruned or shaped into unnatural geometric shapes. Function is more important than formality of design. Pathways can be irregularly placed and planting patterns respond to the particularities of specific site conditions, adjacent buildings and trees - rather than to a strictly ordered preconceived planting plan. The emphasis is on creating places, a variety of comfortable outdoor areas for use by members of the campus community.

The naturalistic style is not incompatible with straight lines or regular geometries. It can accept formal installations. In particular, landscape form and order may be stronger in formal public areas of campus and adjacent to buildings with strong geometry and architectonic presence. The naturalistic style will facilitate ease of maintenance, but it will not be maintenance-free, nor should it be allowed to become overgrown or sloppy.

Native plant materials should be emphasized and they should be selected, placed and labelled to allow the campus to function as an outdoor teaching environment. Selection of plant materials should be coordinated with appropriate academic departments to allow the landscape to function as a teaching resource.
Landscape Functions
The campus landscape accommodates a variety of physical, aesthetic and environmental functions including the following:

1. Image - The landscape is the strongest, most pervasive element of the campus image. It should be developed to unify the disparate campus buildings and to create a coherent campus image for Tulane.

2. Outdoor Space - The landscape provides spaces for occupation for formal and informal activities, including outdoor study, eating, gathering, and recreational sports. Landscape elements should be manipulated to enclose and define spaces and to create interesting transitions between spaces.

3. Circulation - The landscape accommodates and directs the circulation needs of pedestrians, bicyclists and automobiles through the network of sidewalks, bike paths, streets and parking.

4. Service - The landscape accommodates the service needs of the campus, providing spaces for service access to buildings and locations for trash collection.

5. Infrastructure - The landscape accommodates the campus utilities: steam, chilled water, domestic water, telecommunications, electricity, gas, sewerage and storm water drainage.

Goals
1. Develop and reinforce the concept of a naturalistic landscape.

2. Create visually and botanically interesting variation within the campus landscape.

3. Develop the campus as a teaching environment with arboreta emphasizing native Louisiana plant materials.

4. Make the function and comfort of the landscape a top priority. Provide shade; create seating areas; include bike parking; develop a variety of spaces and features.

5. Make the landscape visually interesting.

Principles
1. Design landscape installations to relate to and complement the adjacent building or buildings. Formal landscaping may be used adjacent to formally designed buildings; in these cases, formally designed areas could be likened to gardens placed within a larger, more naturalistic landscape.

2. Maintain the tree canopy over the front campus and the west side of the Newcomb campus. Replace trees, fill empty spaces as required.

3. Maintain the alleys of oaks along McClain, Newcomb Place, Newcomb Circle, and the U.C. Quadrant/Drill Road. Maintenance of these trees is a top landscape priority.

4. Maintain open grass quadrangles, including the front quad, Newcomb quad, and U.C. quad. These quadrangles establish the upper end of the spatial hierarchy which organizes the front and middle campuses.

5. Design landscape to accommodate all methods of circulation through campus, including pedestrians, bicycles, cars and service vehicles. Conflicting modes of circulation should be separated from

Notes:
*Information deemed relevant to current and future tree policy is highlighted.

*Adjustments to the body of information are suggested in red.
one another as much as possible. See Circulation Master Plan.

6. Design campus to promote individual safety at all times.

7. Provide spaces required for servicing buildings, including parking areas for service vehicles and screened areas for dumpsters. Service activity should be hidden from view from major pedestrian zones.

8. Plant material should be native or traditional. Plants should require little manipulation of growth habit.

9. Lighting installations should be in compliance with the goals and standards of the Lighting Master Plan.

10. Include sculpture and water elements in landscape designs where appropriate.

Standards
1. Design should be suited to the maintenance limitations of the Physical Plant Department Grounds Division. Plantings should be easy to maintain and should generally have long anticipated life spans. At the same time, efforts should be made to increase the resources of the maintenance department so that the quality of the campus landscape is not compromised.

2. Landscape installations should be of high quality. Lighting, irrigation and other similar systems should be installed in a manner which will withstand heavy use, low maintenance, and will discourage vandalism.

3. Material selection should create a sense of consistency across campus while allowing for areas of special interest. The typical paving material for the campus is scored concrete. Concrete should be generally used for all connecting walkways; other paving materials can be used in specific installations to define spaces such as courtyards, entry plazas, etc. Consider using texturing and scoring to reduce the reflectivity of large areas of concrete and to distinguish paths or areas of paving from each other.

4. Designs should minimize the potential for damage from pedestrian patterns, vehicle corner cuts, and vandalism.

5. Use of gravel as a walk surface should be temporary only. Establish a schedule and budget to replace gravel areas beginning in the most heavily trafficked areas. Investigate methods of containing gravel areas while in use to keep gravel bed edges neat.

6. Design landscape installations to prevent water from standing on sidewalks and street surfaces; drain planting beds appropriately. Use new installations as opportunities to address drainage problems in adjacent areas.

7. Use of materials and details should be consistent across campus.
Climate Issues
The landscape should be climate responsive, designed to capitalize on the positive qualities and mitigate the impact of the negative qualities of the New Orleans climate.

1. Use deciduous trees to provide shade for outdoor spaces in the hot summer months and allow for solar warming in the colder months. Deciduous trees adjacent to buildings can affect the building’s energy consumption by reducing the heat gain during the summer and allowing for solar heat gain during the winter.

2. Design outdoor spaces to capitalize on the cooling potential of summer breezes and to protect against winter winds.

3. Use plantings as barriers to pollution by creating planting buffers to block noise, exhaust fumes and other unpleasant odors from impacting outdoor spaces. Plantings also should be used to block unpleasant views.

Materials
Landscape materials include, among others, the following:

1. Plantings
   a. Trees - large and small scale, miscellaneous species.
   b. Shrubs - miscellaneous species, used in the following ways:
      - Hedges used as screens and to define edges of campus or of quads. Hedges often used to screen parked cars.
      - Foundation planting in beds around the edges of buildings. This type of planting is appropriate around buildings with unsightly ground conditions. However, complex, layered foundation planting is not characteristic of the campus.
      - Planting beds, typically of amorphous form with miscellaneous clumps of various plants. Organization, placement, and structure are typically unclear.
   c. Ground covers - miscellaneous species, including grass, jasmine, monkey grass. Some areas suffer from erosion due to heavy campus traffic.

2. Paving
   Most paving across campus is scored concrete, although slate and brick are used occasionally for special paved areas. Streets are asphalt paved.

3. Other Ground Surfaces
   Other ground surface materials in use include crushed gravel and mulch. Gravel is often installed as a temporary paving material.

4. Site Construction Elements
   These elements include planters, walls and fences. Typical materials are brick, concrete block, wood and iron.

5. Site Furniture
   Includes benches, tables, trash receptacles, recycling receptacles, kiosks, bike racks, telephones, etc. Recommend standardization of kiosks, trash receptacles and bike racks (to ribbon racks) across campus and standardization of benches by area or zone.

6. Other
   Curbs, bed edging, parking bumpers, striping, tree grates, man hole covers, drains, etc.

Design Elements
The following are some of the elements of landscape design which can be manipulated to achieve the goals and accommodate the functions outlined above: perspective, line, form, enclosure, texture, aroma, light and shade, air flow, sound and color.
Organizational Elements

The landscape is structured primarily around the following three systems:

1. **Trees**: The planting of major trees is one of the most outstanding landscape features of the Tulane campus. The trees on the front campus and those to the west side of Newcomb Hall are planted in a random fashion and have grown so as to create a dense canopy which defines the quads below and limits together the buildings along the quad edges. In the middle campus, the alleys of oak trees along McAlisters, Drill Field Road, Newcomb Place and Newcomb Circle form probably the most dominant landscape feature. Smaller scale trees are also used as accents throughout the landscape.

2. **Quads**: Each section of the campus contains a major open space or lawn. The front quad and Newcomb quads organize the major academic zones and the U.C. quad anchors the center of the student-oriented middle campus. The Sugar Bowl quad will be the dominant open space in the southern end of the back campus after the existing open parking lot across from Aron Residences is built out. These quads should remain the dominant hierarchical open spaces for the campus.

3. **Streetscapes**: The streetscapes through the campus and between the major campus zones are an integral part of the landscape system. These include Perret Street, Willow Street, McAlisters Drive, Drill Field Road, Newcomb Place, Newcomb Circle and Ben Weinman Drive. The appearance of these streetscapes vary, but in general, they suffer from a lack of coordination of the repetitive systems which occur along their flanks. Irregularly placed light standards, uncoordinated and unkempt signage, and broken and discontinuous sidewalks detract from the appearance of the streets. In addition, the location of parking along the street edges is generally too dense and it detracts from the pedestrian experience of the street and, in some locations, poses potentially hazardous safety conditions. The streetscapes should be overhauled to improve their character and the sense of the street fronts as identifiable, occupiable spaces.

Images

- The dominant image of the typical American campus is that of a park like place, with trees placed somewhat randomly in an open landscape. This image is like that projected by the front campus and the area between Newcomb Hall and Broadway Street, and by the areas to the east of McAlisters Drive.
- However, the middle campus is intersected by a network of perpendicular streets, so in this zone, the urban streetscape is a dominant image. The coexistence of these two dominant images is unusual and requires careful design manipulation to coordinate the systems into a coherent campus. Areas of more dense planting - small unique gardens - might be developed to modulate between the street and park spaces.
TREES AND THE CAMPUS PLANNING CONTEXT: WITHIN THE MASTER PLAN

Recommendations

1. Design areas at the north and south sides of Newcomb Hall to provide transitional "gateways" from the Broadway quad to Newcomb quad. Include bike parking in this area.

2. Redesign Ferret Street edge of campus for consistency of image and utility. Widen sidewalks for pedestrians. Allow for service traffic as necessary. Create high quality image on this very public edge of campus.

3. Improve planting at Gibson Circle to create a stronger public image. Replace signage, sidewalks and repave Gibson Circle with a more formal material than asphalt.

4. Improve sidewalks and sidewalk connections north of Rollin.

5. Use landscape elements to design symbolic gateways to the residential quads, especially Wynn and Sulli quads.

6. Redesign streetscape of McAlister Drive. See related proposal.

7. Increase planting along edges of Ben Weiner Drive.

8. Remove some parking adjacent to quads on Newcomb Place and parking on Newcomb Circle. Repave with more formal material.

9. Improve Claiborne Avenue edge of campus.

10. Redesign paving in front of the A & S Building and replant this area.

11. Increase density of planting in back campus.


13. Design all installations with care, even those expected to be temporary. Often, short term economical solutions - such as the installation of crushed gravel in lieu of permanent paving - remain in place for extended periods of time. This can compromise the quality of appearance of the overall campus landscape.

Related Recommendations

See the following documents for related recommendations.

1. Parking Plan. See especially recommendations regarding peripheral parking and reduced use of internal streets for parking.

2. Lighting plan.


4. Circulation plan. See especially recommendations regarding bike paths, bike ports, service cart paths.
Tulane Tree Survey, Campus Tree Maps

Campus Tree Assessment Phase:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tree No.</th>
<th>Location: Gibson Circle, Howard Tillotson Rd.</th>
<th>Evaluation</th>
<th>Overall Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F94</td>
<td></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Historic Value** is determined by two factors: a tree’s dedicated or memorial status and any notable history associated with the tree’s origin or planting on campus. For example, a tree or stand of trees planted by a student group, alumni group, or faculty/department group would have high historical distinction. Dedicated trees and trees which have known genealogical connections to nurseries, gardens, and other forests and arboretums that are important to the history of the wider city, national, and international community would also rank high in historical value.

- **Aesthetic Value** becomes a function of a tree’s health and “maintainability”, size, maturity, species, location, and importance within the visual landscape image of the campus. Trees that function well in beautifying, shaping, and shading the outdoor spaces and circulation paths they adjoin as well as contributing to broader campus views important to the larger campus landscape image as identified in Tulane’s Master Plan design guidelines achieve high aesthetic value.

- **Scientific / Educational Value** is decided by a tree’s species, quality as a specimen, rare or native designation, and its contribution to both the health and diversity of Tulane’s ecosystem. Every effort should be made to maintain and seek biodiversity through both rare and native plantings. All plantings are available for use in research and instruction.

Conceptual Tree Assessment Diagrams

Campus design and planning authorities must utilize a multi-faceted process for assessing the value of trees in the potential path of new development projects during the early design stages of such projects. The Assessment Phase evaluates trees based on a “high” or “neutral” rating in each of three categories:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tree No.</th>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>Evaluation</th>
<th>Overall Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tree Policy “Overall preservation assessments”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preserve tree in current condition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preserve tree, prune as needed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relocate tree elsewhere on campus.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree can be removed: replace threefold with same species.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree can be removed: replace threefold with different species.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Tulane Tree Survey, Campus Tree Maps

Campus Tree Valuation Phase:

Trunk formula method will be used for valuation. This is based on cost of tree replacement normalized for the diameter of the trunk at breast height, with adjustments for species, condition and location within a landscape. This method is commonly used and considered one of the most accurate of the valuation methods.

Once Campus Tree Assessment has been completed (usually during the early schematic design phase) for specific trees or groups of trees involved in new development projects, outside arboricultural consultants should be contracted to undertake a **Campus Tree Valuation**. The tree valuation process involves both physical and formulaic quantification of tree value. The result is a monetary and appraised value for each tree based on a wide range of factors including more scientific tree health, site, and species analysis. The dollar amounts and maintenance or removal recommendations which result from the Campus Tree Valuation phase allow campus design and planning authorities, as well as contracted architects or builders involved in new development projects, to establish further detailed design and construction guidelines specific to the trees in question. Adherence to and execution of stipulations in the formal Tulane Tree Policy regarding tree damage or destruction penalties are also facilitated by the Campus Tree Valuation results.

Example Tree Valuation Report Excerpts from Zimple Quad

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Number</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Tree No.</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Diam. of Appraised Tree</th>
<th>Base of Value of Plant</th>
<th>Trunk Area (TA) of Appraised Tree</th>
<th>Adjusted Trunk Area (ATA) of Appraised Tree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>ZQ</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Live Oak</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1,255.00</td>
<td>$144,842.86</td>
<td>$123,355.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>ZQ</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Live Oak</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1,255.00</td>
<td>$140,411.13</td>
<td>$120,011.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>ZQ</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Live Oak</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1,255.00</td>
<td>$102,083.23</td>
<td>$85,799.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>ZQ</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Live Oak</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1,255.00</td>
<td>$146,170.51</td>
<td>$119,317.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4 Trees Total:

$5.90 Sum of Losses
### Tulane Tree Survey, Campus Tree Maps

Each tree is identified by a specific alphanumeric combination. The letters indicate the area of campus in which the tree is planted. Each tree in that area is then individually numbered.

For example, "DW-1" refers to Dinwiddie Hall tree #1.

Clicking a tree will bring up more detailed information.

This section of the survey shows key information about the tree including its condition, conflicts, hazards, and any pending services.

The Basic Tree Information sheet gives an overview of the tree. This information includes diameter at breast height (DBH), number of stems, diameter of root plates, crown width and total height.

The sheet also shows when the data was last updated and the geolocation of the tree is so that it can be mapped on Google Maps or Google Earth.

#### The Basic Tree Information Sheet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Tree</th>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Conflicts</th>
<th>Hazards</th>
<th>Pending Services</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AP</td>
<td>AF-1</td>
<td>Swamp Redbay - Persea palustris</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP</td>
<td>AF-2</td>
<td>Swamp Redbay - Persea palustris</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP</td>
<td>AF-3</td>
<td>Swamp Redbay - Persea palustris</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASR</td>
<td>ASR-1</td>
<td>Live Oak - Quercus virginiana (90-100)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASR</td>
<td>ASR-2</td>
<td>Sweetgum - Liquidambar styraciflua</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASR</td>
<td>ASR-3</td>
<td>Sweetgum - Liquidambar styraciflua</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASR</td>
<td>ASR-4</td>
<td>Sweetgum - Liquidambar styraciflua</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASR</td>
<td>ASR-5</td>
<td>Sweetgum - Liquidambar styraciflua</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASR</td>
<td>ASR-6</td>
<td>Sweetgum - Liquidambar styraciflua</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASR</td>
<td>ASR-7</td>
<td>Sweetgum - Liquidambar styraciflua</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASR</td>
<td>ASR-8</td>
<td>Sweetgum - Liquidambar styraciflua</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASR</td>
<td>ASR-9</td>
<td>Sweetgum - Liquidambar styraciflua</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASR</td>
<td>ASR-10</td>
<td>Sweetgum - Liquidambar styraciflua</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASR</td>
<td>ASR-11</td>
<td>Sweetgum - Liquidambar styraciflua</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASR</td>
<td>ASR-12</td>
<td>Sweetgum - Liquidambar styraciflua</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASR</td>
<td>ASR-13</td>
<td>Sweetgum - Liquidambar styraciflua</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASR</td>
<td>ASR-14</td>
<td>Sweetgum - Liquidambar styraciflua</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASR</td>
<td>ASR-15</td>
<td>Sweetgum - Liquidambar styraciflua</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASR</td>
<td>ASR-16</td>
<td>Crape Myrtle - Lagerstroemia indica</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASR</td>
<td>ASR-17</td>
<td>Crape Myrtle - Lagerstroemia indica</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASR</td>
<td>ASR-18</td>
<td>Crape Myrtle - Lagerstroemia indica</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASR</td>
<td>ASR-19</td>
<td>Crape Myrtle - Lagerstroemia indica</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASR</td>
<td>ASR-20</td>
<td>Crape Myrtle - Lagerstroemia indica</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASR</td>
<td>ASR-21</td>
<td>Crape Myrtle - Lagerstroemia indica</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASR</td>
<td>ASR-22</td>
<td>Crape Myrtle - Lagerstroemia indica</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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